STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION STATE OF GEORGIA

T.F.,

Appellant,

: CASE NO.: 2019-38

v. :

: DECISION LIBERTY COUNTY :

BOARD OF EDUCATION,

Appellee.

This is an appeal by T.F. ("Student") from the decision of the Liberty County Board of Education ("Local Board") to expel her for the remainder of the 2018-2019 school year through the end of the first semester of the 2019-2020 school year for fighting. For the following reasons, this case is **REMANDED WITH INSTRUCTIONS.**

I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The Student is a tenth grader at Liberty County High School ("LCHS"). On Monday, March 11, 2019, the Student was in the hallway at school when she saw three female students jump another student, "G." The Student and two others jumped in to help "G." The incident stemmed from a dispute between two groups of female students that took place the previous Friday.

Dr. Orange, an instructor at LCHS, pulled the Student away from the melee. The Student returned to the area where the fight was taking place; however, she did not participate further in the fight.

II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The Student was charged with fighting. According to the school's charge letter, fighting is a "violation of either board policy, disciplinary rules, or the code of conduct." The Student was suspended from school for 10 days pending the outcome of a school disciplinary hearing.

The disciplinary hearing took place on March 21, 2019 before a school disciplinary hearing officer. The Student admitted that she was guilty of fighting; however, she contended that she acted in the defense of another student.

The hearing officer found the Student guilty of fighting. The record does not indicate whether he considered the Student's claim that she acted in the defense of another person. The hearing officer expelled the Student for the remainder of the 2018-2019 school year through the

¹ At the disciplinary hearing, "G" was identified only by her first name. Thus, for purposes of this decision, she will be referred to by her first initial.

end of the first semester of the 2019-2020 school year, with the option to enroll in alternative school.

The Student appealed the decision of the disciplinary hearing officer to the Local Board. The Local Board upheld the disciplinary hearing officer's decision without addressing the Student's claim that she acted in the defense of a third party.

The Student has appealed to the State Board of Education ("State Board").

III. ISSUES ON APPEAL

Was the Student guilty of fighting at LCHS on March 11, 2019, and therefore should she be expelled until January 2020?

IV. DECISION

A. Standard of Review

In reviewing this appeal, the State Board must apply the "any evidence rule." Thus, if there is any evidence to support the Local Board's decision, this Board must affirm it. See Ransum v. Chattooga Cnty. Bd. of Educ., 144 Ga. App. 783, 242 S.E.2d 374 (1978). See also, Chattooga Cnty. Bd. of Educ. v. Searels, 302 Ga. App. 731, 691 S.E.2d 629 (2010). This Board will not substitute its judgment for that of the Local Board unless there is clear evidence that the Local Board's actions were arbitrary and capricious. Henry Cnty. Bd. of Educ. v. S.G., 301 Ga. 794, 804 S.E.2d 427 (2017); King v. Worth Cnty. Bd. of Educ., 324 Ga. App. 208, 749 S.E.2d 791 (2013).

B. The Local Board's Decision

At the disciplinary hearing, the Student was charged with fighting. To the extent that there was a specific rule or provision in the student code of conduct, disciplinary rules, or board policy upon which the school based its charge against the Student, the substance of the rule was not introduced into evidence and is not part of the record. Moreover, while the Student admitted that she was fighting, she also claimed that she was acting in the defense of her friend.

The fact that the Student engaged in a fight does not constitute a code of conduct violation if her actions were justified as self-defense. Henry Cnty. Bd. of Educ. v. S.G., 301 Ga. 794, 804 S.E.2d 427 (2017). In the instant case, the hearing officer found the Student guilty of fighting. In issuing his decision, the hearing officer did not address the Student's claim that she acted in the defense of a third party. Likewise, the record does not reflect whether the Local Board considered the Student's claim.

The State Board finds that the Local Board did not apply the proper law to the evidence as to the Student's claim that she acted in the defense of a third party and reach its own findings. Consequently, the State Board remands this case with instructions to the Local Board to make further findings and conclusions after applying the appropriate law to the evidence in accordance with *Henry Cnty. Bd. of Educ. v. S.G.*, 301 Ga. 794, 804 S.E.2d 427 (2017).

V. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the State Board of Education **REMANDS** this case to the Local Board with instructions.

This 22nd day of August, 2019.

LISA KINNEMORE

VICE CHAIR FOR APPEALS